



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/882,857 | 06/15/2001 | Maarten R. van Dantzich | MS150900.15 | 8785 |
| 27195 | 7590 | 11/24/2006 | | |
| AMIN. TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114 | | | | |
| | | | EXAMINER ROSWELL, MICHAEL | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2173 | PAPER NUMBER |

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/882,857 | VAN DANTZICH ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Michael Roswell | 2173 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Michael Roswell. (3) _____
 (2) Cheryl Young. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 02 November 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gross et al (US Patent 5,555,346).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


TADESSE HAILU
 Patent Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant briefly discussed the subject matter of the claimed invention. Further, applicant asked for clarification concerning the rejection of claim 1 over Gross, specifically in connection with the prioritizing of emails. The examiner attempted at such clarification by citing the event and rule prioritizing of Gross in relation to email messages, which the examiner contends teaches email prioritization. Applicant acknowledged the examiner's remarks and stated their intention to reconsider the rejection of record .

TADESSE HAILU
Patent Examiner

